	11		
1	BRIAN J. STRETCH (CABN 163973) United States Attorney		
3	BARBARA J. VALLIERE (DCBN 439353) Chief, Criminal Division		
4	SHIAO LEE (CABN 257413) Assistant United States Attorney		
5	450 Golden Gate Avenue, 11 th Floor		
6	San Francisco, California 94102-3495 Telephone: (415) 436-6924		
7	FAX: (415) 436-7234 shiao.lee@usdoj.gov		
8	Attorneys for United States of America		
9			
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
13	LIMITED STATES OF AMEDICA	CASE NO. CD 12 00920 DS	
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. CR 12-00830 RS	
15	Plaintiff,)	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER	
16	v.)	EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT FROM APRIL 25, 2017	
17	KEVIN THOMAS TRASK,	TO MAY 16, 2017.	
18	Defendants.		
19			
20	On April 25, 2017, defendant and his attorney appeared before this Court. At the hearing, the		
21	Court directed the parties to appear on May 16, 2017 for a further status conference. The parties agree		
22	that the additional time is necessary for effective preparation of counsel. Therefore, the parties agree		
23	and jointly request that the time between April 25, 2017 and May 16, 2017, should be excluded in order		
24	to provide reasonable time necessary for the effective preparation of counsel pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §		
25	3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The parties agree that the ends of justice served by granting the continuance		
26	outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.		
27		• •	
28			
-0	STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER		

CASE NO. 12-00830 RS

1	1 DATED: April 26, 2017 Respec	tfully Submitted,
2	2 BRIAN	J. STRETCH
3	United	States Attorney
4	<u>/s/ Shi</u>	
	SHIAC Accieta	on LEE nt United States Attorney
5		•
6		ffrey Hansen
7	SEST I	FREY HANSEN
8	8 Attorne	ey for Defendant Kevin Thomas Trask
9	9	
10	10	
11	[PROPOSED] ORDER	
12	For the reasons stated above, the Court finds that the exclusion of time from April 25, 2017,	
13	through and including May 16, 2017, is warranted and that the ends of justice served by the continuance	
14	outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A).	
15	The failure to grant the requested continuance would deny effective preparation of counsel, and would	
16	result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161 (h)(7)(B)(iv).	
17	17 IT IS SO ORDERED.	
18	18	
19	19 DATED: <u>4/26/17</u>	il Section 1
20	20 HON. I	RICHARD SEEBOR
21		States District Court Judge
22	22	
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
- 1		

STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER

CASE NO. 12-00830 RS